

Solidarities Under Strain: Place-Based Identities in

Turbulent Times

Bridget Shaffrey

Department of Geography, Durham University



Placing Solidarities

In these increasingly perilous political times of alt-right populism, unsubstantiated fear of the Other, and geopolitical events capable of shifting social landscapes, significant insurgencies have matured in response to events of political unrest and instability and, with them, an outpouring of various and, seemingly conflicting, notions of solidarity. The political momentum surrounding solidarity's contemporary usage in the last few decades has resulted in new theoretical challenges, leading to important debates on what solidarity and solidaristic practices are, how they should look, and whether they are synonymous with notions and practices of 'belonging,' 'fraternity,' or 'shared responsibility' (Bayertz, 1997; Hoelz, 2004; Kymlicka, 2015; Roediger, 2016; Oosterlynck et al., 2016, 2017).

While unsettling solidarity may seem perilous, doing so offers an opportunity to "produce new ways of configuring political relations and spaces" (Featherstone, 2012, 5-6; Roediger, 2016). Therefore, this research problematized place-based political solidarity and explore the tensions and fractures of by adopting a relational approach. Equipping this perspective, I focused on the role of the embodied, the affective, and the remembered in the construction of the identities of solidarities and their performativity (see also Routledge et al., 2007, Pratt, 2008; Schwenkel, 2013; Wilson, 2017; Muehlebach, 2017). As such, this work engages with recent scholarship that emphasizes the significance of understanding how interpersonal relations, affective and emotional attachments, and embodiment influence both the building and enactment of solidarity across places and borders (McFarlane, 2009; Routledge et al., 2007; Pratt, 2008; Wilson, 2009, 2016, 2017; Featherstone, 2012; Routledge, 2012).

Identifying Solidarities

Building on these critical discussions, my upcoming research aims to explore two intersecting dimensions of solidarity in the North East of England in the context of politically turbulent Brexit times: (1) the diverse forms of labour that go into maintaining solidarities and forms of place-based identity, pride and collectivity and; (2) the tensions and fractures that undermine and challenge solidarities, addressing how solidarities may fail, or be overridden.

The research will focus on the town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, a town with a long history of border politics. Berwick's history has seen the town incorporated in both England and Scotland at different points, with its present identification as part of the North East of England being challenged by political parties in both countries. Given its border history, its relative socioeconomic disadvantage and its ageing population, Berwick presents a unique opportunity to explore how place-based solidarities, senses of identity and collectivity, are maintained and challenged in the turbulent politics of the present.



Challenging Solidarities

The need to continue developing a more expansive sense of solidarity in which the role of conflict and struggle in solidaristic becoming and practices, explore solidarities potential for exclusion and marginalization, and analyze the micropolitics of practices through which solidarity is enacted and articulated becomes clear (Rorty, 1989; Brown et al., 2014; Roediger, 2016; Kelliher, 2021). However, to do so first requires prioritizing a relational account of solidarity in which one considers how different scales can be mutually constitutive and the local and multiple ways that places relate to each other (Williams, 1989; Wilson, 2009, 2016, 2017; Kelliher, 2021). Notably, Brown et al., (2014) argues that adopting a more relational and place-based approach to solidarity requires a willingness to unsettle both the spatial relations and embodied spatial practices through which solidarities are enacted (see also Massey, 2008; Featherstone, 2012).



To adopt a relational approach to solidarity acknowledges their material and historical conditions and facilitates thinking of solidarity "as a particular assembly of bodies in time and space and of these bodies and their movement together as generative of political feeling and action" (Muehlebach, 2017, 100). As Pratt (2008) argues in her account on Canadian human rights activists in the Philippines and Wilson (2017) contends in her engagement with solidarities and space of encounter, the connections of solidarities are not disembodied or dispassionate. Rather, the embodied, affective, and emotive dimensions of support are inseparable from mobilizations of action and are "constitutive of a variety of economic, political and geopolitical practices" (Wilson, 53; see also Pain and Staeheloi, 2014; Povinello, 2006; Smith, 2016; Vasudevan, 2015; Wilson, 2016).

Bibliography

- Adams-Hutchinson, G. (2017) 'Spatialising skin: Pushing the boundaries of trauma geographies.' *Emotion, Space and Society* 24, pp.105-112.
- Anderson, P. (2002) 'Internationalism: A Breviary.' *New Left Review*, 14, pp. 5-25.
- Alexander, J. (1982) *Theoretical Logic in Sociology, Vol. Two: The Antinomies of Classical Thought: Marx and Durkheim*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Alexander, J. (1988) 'Introduction: Durkheimian sociology and cultural studies today,' in J. Alexander (ed.) *Durkheimian Sociology: Cultural Studies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Alexander, J.C. (2012) *Trauma: A cultural study*. Cambridge, UK: Malden, MA: Polity.
- Atkinson, M., & Richardson, M. (Eds.). (2013). *Traumatic affect*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bayertz, K. (1997) 'Four uses of "solidarity" in Bayertz, K. (ed) *Solidarity*. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers. Pp. 3-28.
- Bauman, Z. (2000) 'Social uses of law and order' in Garland, D. and Sparks, R. (eds) *Criminology and Social Theory*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 23-45.
- Berlant, L. (2011). *Cruel Optimism*. Durham: Duke UP.
- Blum, V. and Secor, A. J. (2011). 'Psychotopologies: closing the circuit between psychic and material space.' *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 29, pp. 1030-1047.
- Blum, V. and Secor, A. J. (2014). 'Mapping trauma: A geography to sociology' in Pile, S. and King, R. (eds) *Psychosocial Geographies*. Ashgate, pp. 103-116.
- Brown, G. and Yaffe, H. (2014). 'Practices of Solidarity: Opposing Apartheid in the Centre of London.' *Antipode*, 46(1), pp.34-52.
- Burk, A. L. (2006). 'In Sight, Out of View: A Tale of Three Monuments.' *Antipode*, 38(1), pp. 41-58.
- Casper, Monica, & Wertheimer, Eric. (2016). *Critical Trauma Studies*. New York: NYU Press.
- Caruth, R. and Chamberlain, A. (2018). 'Why punishment pleases: Punitive feelings in a world of hostile solidarity.' *Punishment & Society*, 20(2), pp.217-234.
- Caruth, C. (1995). *Trauma: Explorations in memory*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Caruth, C. (1996). *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Chesney, G. and Welsh, J. (2011). *Social Movements: The Key Concepts*. London: Routledge.
- Coddington, K. and Misieli-Voutsinas, J. (2017). 'On trauma, geography, and mobility: Towards geographies of trauma.' *Emotion, Space and Society*, 24, pp. 52-56.
- Crow, G. (2002) *Social Solidarities: Theories, Identities and Social Change*. Buckingham: Open University.
- Crow, G. (2010). 'Social Solidarities.' *Sociology Compass*, 4, pp. 52-60.
- Durkheim, E. (1984). *The Division of Labour in Society*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Eisenberg, K. (1995). 'Notes on trauma and community' in C. Caruth (Ed.), *Trauma: Explorations in memory*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, pp. 183-199.
- Featherstone, D. (2008). *Resistance, Space and Political Identities*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Featherstone, D. (2010). 'Contested relationalities of political activism: The democratic spatial practices of the London Corresponding Society.' *Cultural Dynamics*, 22 (2), pp. 87-104.
- Featherstone, D. (2012). 'Solidarity: hidden histories and the geographies of internationalism.' London: Zed Books.
- Gould, C. C. (2007). 'Transnational solidarities.' *Journal of Social Philosophy* 38(1), pp. 148-164.
- Hoelz, M. (2004). 'Recognizing the Sacrificial Victim: The Problem of Solidarity for Critical Social Theory.' *Journal for Cultural and Religious Theory*, 6, pp. 45-64.
- Kaplan, E. A. (2005). *Trauma Culture: The Politics of Terror and Loss in Media and Literature*. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.
- Kelliher, D. (2021). *Making Cultures of Solidarity: London and the 1984-5 Miners' Strike*. London: Routledge.
- Coddington, K., & Misieli-Voutsinas, J. (Eds.). (2017). *Understanding Trauma: Integrating biological, clinical, and cultural perspectives*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kymlicka, W. (2015). 'Solidarity in diverse societies: beyond neoliberal multiculturalism and welfare chauvinism.' *Comparative Migration Studies* 3(1), pp. 1-19.
- Laes, L. (1998). *The Solidarities of Strangers: The English Poor Laws and the People 1700-1948*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lockwood, D. (1992). *Solidarity and Schism: The Problem of Disorder in Durkheimian and Marxist Sociology*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- McFarlane, C. (2009). 'Translocal assemblages: Space, power and social movements.' *Geoforum*, 40, pp. 561-567.
- Massey, D. (2004). 'Geographies of responsibility.' *Geografiska Annaler B* 86(1), pp. 5-18.
- Massey, D. (2008). 'Geographies of solidarities' in N. Clark, C. Massey and P. Sarre (eds), *Material Geographies*. London: Sage, pp. 311-362.
- Mohanty, C.T. (2003). *Feminism without Borders: Decolonizing Theory, Practicing Solidarity*. Durham NC: Duke University Press.
- Mott, C. (2016). *The Activist Polis: Topologies of Conflict in Indigenous Solidarity Activism*. *Antipode*, 48 (1).
- Muehlebach, Andrea. (2017). 'The Body of Solidarity: Heritage, Memory, and Materiality in Postindustrial Italy.' *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 59, pp. 96-126.
- Oosterlynck, S., Loomans, M., Schuermans, N., Vandenaebelle, J. and Zemmi, S. (2016). 'Putting flesh to the bone: looking for solidarity in diversity, here and now.' *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 39(5), pp. 764-782.
- Opp, K. (2009). *Theories of Political Protest and Social Movements*. London: Routledge.
- Perera, S. (2010). 'Torturous dialogues: Geographies of trauma and spaces of exception.' *Continuum*, 24(1), pp. 31-45.
- Pratt, G. and Yeoh, B. (2003). 'Transnational (Counter) Topographies: Gender, Place and Culture 10 (2)', pp. 159-166.
- Pratt, G., and the Philippines-Canada Task Force on Human Rights (2008). 'International Accompaniment and Witnessing State Violence in the Philippines.' *Antipode* 40 (5), pp. 759-771.
- Roediger, D. (2016). 'Making solidarity uneasy: Cautions on a keyword from black lives matter to the past.' *American Quarterly* 68(2), pp. 223-248.
- Rorty, R. (1989). *Contingency, Irony and Solidarity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Routledge, P. (2012). 'Sensuous solidarities: Emotion, politics and performance in the Calandine Insurgent Rebel Clown Army.' *Antipode*, 44, pp. 428-452.
- Routledge, P., Cumbers, A., and Natvig, C. (2007). 'Grassrooting network imaginaries: Relationality, power, and mutual solidarity in global justice networks.' *Environment and Planning A*, 39, pp. 25-75.
- Scholz, S. J. (2008). *Political Solidarity*. Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Schwenkel, Christina. (2013). 'Post/Socialist Affect: Ruin and Reconstruction of the Nation in Urban Vietnam.' *Cultural Anthropology* 28, 2, 252-77.
- Shields, R. (2012) *Urban Trauma: Comment on Karen Till's 'Wounded Cities'*. *Political Geography*, 31(1): 15-16.
- Staley, D. (1998) *Geographies of Exclusion: Society and Difference in the West*. London: Spinks R. (2012) 'States of insecurity: Punishment, populism, and contemporary political culture.' In: McConville S. (ed) *The Use of Punishment*. London: Routledge, pp. 149-174.
- Stjerns, S. (2005). *Solidarity in Europe*. Cambridge University Press.
- Till, K. E. (2012a). 'Wounded cities: Memory-work and a place-based ethics of care.' *Political Geography*, 31(1), pp. 3-14.
- Till, K. E. (2012b). Reply: Trauma, citizenship and ethnographic responsibility. *Political Geography*, 31, pp. 22-23.
- Torres, J. (1977). 'Estrangement, Alienation, and Exploitation: A Sociological Approach to Historical Materialism.' Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Walker, J. (2010). 'Moving testimonies and the geography of suffering: Penits and fantasies of belonging after Katrina.' *Continuum*, 24(1), pp. 47-64.
- Williams, R. (1989). 'Resources of Hope: Culture, Democracy, Socialism.' London: Verso. Pp. 120-28.
- Wilson, H.F. (2009). 'The emotions least relevant to politics? Queering autonomous activism.' *Emotion, Space, and Society*, 2, pp. 36-43.
- Wilson, H.F. (2012). 'Living with difference and the conditions for dialogue.' *Dialogues in Human Geography*, 2, pp. 225-227.
- Wilson, H.F. (2016). 'On geography and encounter: Bodies, borders, and difference.' *Progress in Human Geography*.
- Wilson, H.F. (2017) in Oosterlynck, S., Schuermans, N. & Loomans, M. (eds), *Place, diversity and solidarity*. London: Routledge.
- White, Hyllon. (2013). 'Materiality, Form, Context: Marx contra Labour.' *Victorian Studies*, 55, 4, pp. 667-82.

Reframing Solidarities

One such way to interrogate these tensions and fractures within the affective, remembered, and embodied relational elements of solidarity is to critically re-imagine solidarities by incorporating the lens of trauma. Notably, trauma has become a keyword through which clinicians and practitioners approach violence (Kirmayer et al., 2007). The metaphor of trauma, as seen by critical studies of it, extends it far beyond psychology and medicine to also encompass and elucidate the social and cultural ruptures that define our individual, historical, and socio-political identities both in the extraordinary and within the everyday (Erikson, 1995; Caruth, 1995, 1996; Alexander, 2004; Kaplan, 2005; Casper and Wertheimer, 2016).



Geographical engagement with trauma is exemplified by—but by no means limited to—Coddington and Voutsinas (2017) and Adams-Hutchinson (2015) in which both extend theoretical discussion on the spatiality and temporality of trauma. Exploring Coddington and Voutsinas' work further, Coddington and Voutsinas argue for trauma's continued geographical relevance, particularly as definitions continue to expand so that traumatic events and experiences are no longer constrained to singular places or times. They conclude that trauma's inherent mobility forces an engagement with the radical interconnectedness people share with others and that "through the rupture of both spatial and temporal continuity," trauma is capable of exposing the threshold separating "past from present, here from there, self from other" (15).

Yet, as Coddington and Voutsinas (2017) remark, the political implications of the geographies of trauma are not yet fully realized and require further research. However, engaging with these geographies offers "at the very least the potential to unsettle, to disrupt, to open a space where change may indeed be possible" and unveils new modes of listening and witnessing to the multifaceted, generative, and transformative aspects of solidarity (16; Smith et al., 2005; Caruth, 1995, Adams-Hutchinson, 2015). In other words, I plan to explore how trauma can be utilized to address the intersections between the tensions and landscapes in which they form and are practiced. I believe that taking this turn in the analysis of solidarity, as Smith et al (2005) argues, exercises a geographical imaginary that helps me engage more critically with how solidarities are relationally experienced across scales, bodies, affects, and emotions and embrace solidarities under strain (4; Massey, 2008; Featherstone 2008, 2012; Kelliher, 2021).

Acknowledgements

I'd like to thank my advisors Dr. Helen Wilson, Dr. Jonathan Darling and Dr. Thomas Wimarck as well my funding body, MSCA ITN and my research consortium, SOLiDi. I'd also like to thank my husband, Dr. Matthew Alexander, and my family.

